ay



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,996	10/761,996 01/21/2004		John D. Phillips	25003B	4300	
22889	7590	01/31/2006		EXAMINER		
	OWENS CORNING 2790 COLUMBUS ROAD				KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
GRANVILLE, OH 43023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3635		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/761,996	PHILLIPS, JOHN D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Basil Katcheves	3635				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DATES OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tircuit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. FD (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	ctober 2005.					
		action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-24 is/are pending in the application	١.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-24</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminar				
,,,							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.0.0. 9 119(a)	)-(a) or (i).				
,		s have been received					
	— Service of the pricing determine that a boot toocitod.						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.</li> </ul>						
	— The state of the process of the state of t						
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
infor ∐ (c Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				

**4**5 -

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant has amended claims in the paper dated 10/31/05. Pending claims 14-24 are examined below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim14-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. Des. 369,421 to Kiik et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,014,847 to Phillips.

Regarding claims 14 and 18, Kiik discloses a variably (random) cut shingle (see title) having an overlay sheet and underlay sheet (marked fig. 1), each overlay sheet having a plurality of select tabs and the underlay sheets having a plurality of shadow patches (marked fig. 1). Kiik also discloses the tabs as covering portions of the patches (fig. 2). In addition, Kiik discloses a portion which can be construed as a non shadow portion (marked figure) which, together with the shadow patches and remainder portions cause the shingle to appear as varying. However, Kiik does not disclose the underlay sheet as having granules of dark and light color. Phillips discloses a shingle with an

Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

underlay sheet having granules of light and dark colors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Kiik by using an underlay sheet having differing colors, as disclosed by Phillips in order to aid in the ornamental and random design as intended by Kiik.

Regarding claim 15, Kiik discloses a remainder portion visible under a bottom edge of a tab (marked fig. 2).

Regarding claim 16, Kiik discloses the shingle as having a longitudinal axis and the remainder portion as having a vertical portion perpendicular to the shingle axis 9marked fig. 2).

Regarding claim 17, Kiik discloses the longitudinal axis of the remainder portion as being parallel with the longitudinal axis of the shingle (marked fig. 2).

Regarding claim 19, Kiik discloses a shadow patch width as disposed along the longitudinal width of the shingle (marked fig. 2). Kiik also discloses the shadow width (marked fig. 2) as being longer than the tab width (marked fig. 2). Kiik also discloses the patches and tabs alternating along the length in a synchronized manner (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 20, Kiik discloses the two sheets as laminated together (figs. 3-5) and tabs covering partial portions of patches (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 21, Kiik discloses the remainder portion (marked fig. 2) as being established by the varying lengths of the tabs and patches (marked fig. 2).

Regarding claim 22, Kiik discloses the shingle as being random cut, therefore inherent of having varying remainder portions from shingle to shingle.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,996

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

portion which is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis.

Regarding claim 24, Kiik discloses the remainder portion as having a longitudinal

Regarding claim 23, Kiik discloses the remainder portion as having a vertical

portion which is parallel to the shingle longitudinal portion (marked fig. 2).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/05 have been fully considered but they are

moot under new grounds of rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is

(571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30

am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

**B**asil Katcheves

1/26/06

Examiner AU 3635

MARKED DRAWING FOR APPUCATION 10/761996

U.S. Patent

Apr. 30, 1996

Des. 369,421

